

Proposals for editorial corrections to working document GRVA/2026/2

The following table presents editorial errors and corrections identified by ADS IWG experts, the IWG secretariat, and the GRVA secretariat. These changes will be made to formal document GRVA/2026/2 (and in its translation into French and Russian) subject to any reservations as may be expressed during the 17th ADS IWG session in Tokyo.

	GRVA/2026/2	Original text	Change proposal	Explanation
	Preamble	This document is based on informal document GRVA-23-23 and submitted pursuant to ECE/TRANS/WP.29/39/Rev.2 as amended b	This document is based on informal document GRVA-23-23 and submitted pursuant to ECE/TRANS/WP.29/ 2019 /39/Rev.2 as amended b	Missing year in formal document reference number.
	41.	The following is a list of common principles with brief descriptions and explanations. It is expected these would form the basis for further development. Except for items (n) and (o), all these items have been identified in ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2029/34/Rev.2:	The following is a list of common principles with brief descriptions and explanations. It is expected these would form the basis for further development. Except for items (n) and (o), all these items have been identified in ECE/TRANS/WP.29/ 2019 /34/Rev.2:	Correct the year in the document reference number.
1	41.(f)	Validation for System Safety: ...in this analysis. Design and validation methods should demonstrate the behavioural competencies an automated vehicle would be expected to perform during normal operation, the performance during crash avoidance situations, and the performance of fall-back strategies. Test approaches....	Validation for System Safety: ...in this analysis. Design and validation methods should demonstrate the behavioural competencies an automated vehicle would be expected to perform during normal operation, the performance during crash avoidance situations, and the performance of fallback strategies. Test approaches....	Remove hyphen from “fallback”.
2	2.27.	(a) Human component ensuring the ADS lifecycle is monitored by personnel with appropriate skills, training, and understanding to identify risks and appropriate mitigation measures to identify risks and appropriate mitigation measures while accounting for the	(a) Human component ensuring the ADS lifecycle is monitored by personnel with appropriate skills, training, and understanding to identify risks and appropriate mitigation measures to identify risks and appropriate mitigation measures while accounting for the	Delete repetition in SMS definition.

	GRVA/2026/2	Original text	Change proposal	Explanation
		possibility of human errors.	possibility of human errors.	
	2.35.5.	Add term and renumber remaining 2.35. subpoints.	<i>“Abstract scenario”</i> means a formalized, declarative description of a scenario derived from a functional scenario. ¹ The specification on the abstract level enables highlighting of the relevant aspects of the scenario while focusing on efficient description of relations (cause-effect).	Reinsert unintentionally omitted definition used in Annex 5.
3	4.3.6		Add period (.) → 4.3.6.	
4	4.3.7		Add period (.) → 4.3.7.	
5	5.1.2.2.(f)	Quality Management System (e.g., IATF 16949 or ISO 9001 to support safety engineering, including change management, configuration management, requirement management, tool management, etc.	Quality Management System (e.g., IATF 16949 or ISO 9001) ...	Close parenthesis on “e.g.,” clause.
6	5.1.8.5.5.(a)	Verify the safety performance (i.e., Safety Performance Indicators) and confirm the in-service safety level of the system (i.e. metrics and thresholds),	Verify the safety performance (i.e., Safety Performance Indicators) and confirm the in-service safety level of the system (i.e., metrics and thresholds),	Add comma after “i.e.” and correct spacing before “metrics”.
7	5.2.1.14.14.	Missing footnote	¹³ "Aleatory Uncertainty" means the portion of uncertainty deriving from a random process that cannot be reduced, while "Epistemic Uncertainty" means the portion of uncertainty deriving from a lack of knowledge about a process that can be reduced via observations.	The UNR version has this explanatory footnote which was unintentionally omitted in the GTR version.

¹ Declarative descriptions can include structured natural language, programming language or other forms of languages that meet the required criteria (formalized and declarative).

	GRVA/2026/2	Original text	Change proposal	Explanation
8	5.3.2.1.	The manufacturer shall document its safety concept, which shall include the risks identified according to the SMS processes relevant to the ADS under paragraph 5.1.3 of this Regulation relevant to the ADS and shall include how those risks have been reduced, mitigated, or accepted.	The manufacturer shall document its safety concept, which shall include the risks identified according to the SMS processes relevant to the ADS under paragraph 5.1.3. of this Regulation relevant to the ADS and shall include how those risks have been reduced, mitigated, or accepted.	Correct repetition of “relevant to the ADS” Add period (.) after “5.1.3”
	5.3.2.5.	[The safety concept shall describe how software updates are validated and confirmed in accordance with paragraph [5.1.4.3.] of this Regulation.]	Delete.	Per approval of the proposal in ADS-16-01/Rev.1.
9	5.3.2.15(a)	The selection of sufficient scenarios in which the ADS needs to initiate a fall-back response (e.g. approaching the ODD limits),	The selection of sufficient scenarios in which the ADS needs to initiate a fallback response (e.g., approaching the ODD boundaries),	Remove hyphen from “fallback” Correct “limits” to “boundaries”
10	6.1.11.2.9.	Use of the reporting templates in Annex 4 and Annex 5 by the manufacturer shall be verified.	Use of the reporting templates in Annex 2 and Annex 3 by the manufacturer shall be verified.	Correct the references to the annexes.
11	6.3.2.2.3.(a)	Scenarios and situations in which the ADS needs to initiate a fall-back response (e.g., approaching the ODD limits), and	Scenarios and situations in which the ADS needs to initiate a fallback response (e.g., approaching the ODD boundaries), and	Remove hyphen from “fallback” Correct “limits” to “boundaries”
12	6.3.3.1.1.	The assessment shall ensure that the physical testing ... accordance with the requirements under paragraph 6.2. of this Regulation.	The assessment shall ensure that the physical testing ... accordance with the requirements under paragraph 5.2. of this Regulation.	Correct the paragraph reference.
13	6.3.3.2.1.	The provisions included in the following paragraphs until 6.3.3.2. apply in the case that confirmatory virtual testing is conducted or required.	The provisions of the following paragraphs up to and including 6.3.3.2.4. shall apply in the case that confirmatory virtual testing is conducted or required.	Based on IWG approval of ADS-16-03 from Canada and recommendation from Korea: Para. 6.3.3.2.1. has the same form as clarification made to para. 6.3.3.3.1.

	GRVA/2026/2	Original text	Change proposal	Explanation
14	Annex 1	⁵ At aggregate level, this information can provide useful information on the validity of the HMI concept and on the need to provide more effective procedures for keeping the fall-back user available.	⁵ At aggregate level, this information can provide useful information on the validity of the HMI concept and on the need to provide more effective procedures for keeping the fallback user available.	Remove hyphen from “fallback”
		ADS failure to achieve a [mitigated] risk condition when necessary	Remove brackets	
15	Annex 2	Change brackets [] to parentheses () such as in “[Y/N]” and units of measurements.		Change brackets to parentheses to avoid possible interpretation that “Y/N” is “text under discussion”. <i>Excludes bracketed items noted in ADS-17-12.</i>