Proposal for supplement 4 to the 06 series of amendments to UN Regulation No. 22 (Protective helmets)

The text reproduced below was prepared by the expert from The Netherlands on behalf of the IWVTA IWG to delete the Unique Identifier (UI) descriptions. The modifications to the existing text are marked in bold for new and strikethrough for deleted characters.

I. Proposal

Paragraph 5.1.4., amend to read:

5.1.4. In addition to the marks described in paragraph 4.1.1. above, the following particulars shall be indicated on every protective helmet conforming to a type approved under this Regulation by means of the labels referred to in paragraph 5.1.10.9. below:

Paragraph 5.1.8., shall be deleted:

```
5.1.8. The approval marks prescribed in paragraphs 5.1.4., 5.1.5. and 5.2.4. above
may not be replaced by a Unique Identifier (UI) as referred to in Schedule 5 of
the 1958 Agreement
```

Paragraphs 5.1.9. (former) to 5.1.13., renumber as paragraphs 5.1.8. to 5.1.12.

Paragraphs 5.1.11. (renumbered) and 5.1.12., amend to read:

- 5.1.11.10. The labels referred to in paragraph 5.1.10.9. above may be issued either by the authority which has granted the approval or, subject to that authority's authorization, by the manufacturer.
- 5.1.12.**11**. The approval marks referred to in paragraph 5.1.10.**9**. above shall be clearly legible and resistant to wear.

II. Justification

- WP.29, during its 195th session in March 2025, adopted the proposal prepared by the informal working group on the International Whole Vehicle Type Approval, ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2025/17. That proposal puts the implementation of the Unique Identifier (UI) marking on hold by amending Schedule 5 of the 1958 Agreement accordingly.
- 2. UN Regulation No. 22 already foresaw the possibility for the alternative UI marking and introduced a ban on its use in paragraph 5.1.8., as it was found inappropriate for the purpose of this UN Regulation.
- 3. Due to the amendment to Schedule 5 of the 1958 Agreement, the IWG on IWVTA considered amendments to UN Regulations necessary to delete all UI references.
- 4. As the UI concept was not yet implemented into the UNECE secure internet database 'DETA', UI markings could also not have been applied yet. A Supplement is therefore considered appropriate.